Good Morning, Friends:

Washing Ton Washington, Like every city, town, hamlet and home inAmerica, was + moddened shocked and horrified by the details finally released by the army and navy of how the papanese fiendishly tortured, persecuted, and murdered the American and Philippine soldiers taken prisoners in the Philippines. These unspeakable savage atrocities made the blood in every true American's veins boil and run hot. We must let this steel our determination to continue the fight until those who started this war and perpetrated these uncivilized horrors are utterly crushed and rendered utterly unable ever again to embroil the world in another war. This nation will want vengeance.

We must not, though, try to match or outdo the bestile brutality of the Japanese. These wrongs must be avenged. Yes, they must. But let us reek our revenge upon those responsible for these cruel atrocities. nick some reconge now upour Some people have suggested that we terture and murder the approximately 250,000 Japanese that we have interned in concentration camps. But a great majority of these, though the Japanese race, are American citizens --Some of them loyal and others, of course, disloyal. Would it be a Christian act to torture and starve and murder these people? Let us remember also that Japan still has several thousand American prisoners as well as many Philippine prisoners, British prisoners, and Duthch. On the other hand, we have taken only a few Japanese prisoners, less than four hundred. The treatment given American prisoners by the Japanese may be one explanation why we have taken so few prisoners. It is said that the Japanese soldiers

have been told that if they are taken prisoners by the Americans they face horrible tortures and eventual death. At any rate, from official appearts reports, we know that many Japanese soldiers prefer death to capture. It might as well be said, too, that American soldiers so hate and distrust the Japanese that they are not particularly anxious to capture them alive. And, of course, many of our soldiers have no intention of everybeing captured by the Japanese. In other words, the fighting between the American and Japanese soldier is, in most cases, a fight to the death. And another thing to remember in connection with our treatment of Japanese soldiers is that, according to reliable information, the Japanese government considers a Japanese soldier dead when he permits himself to be taken prisoner. He stands disgraced before his country and his family and forxthex so far the Japanese government has shown little concern over soldiers whom we hold as the treatment and welfare of Japanese prisoners of war. Is that any reason, though, why we Should tother them?

Think, for a moment, of the difference between their treatment of American prisoners and our treatment of the semething like four hundred Japanese prisoners. While they have been starving, beating, torturing, and denying medical treatment to their American prisoners, we have built comfortable prisoner cantonments for the Japanese prisoners. These cantonments are warm and comfortable, equipped with good beds, recreation facilities, a staff of competent doctors, a supply of the best medicine? available, and adequate hospital facilities. They are fed the best of

State deportment man a significant depolementic matery, and hashe with the axis, and he will be a steppage of our lives fallowed by a steppage of our fallowing fallowed by a steppage of our fallowing fallowed to show that the definere? I have the definered? I have the definered?

American food. Esn't that a great difference? The is the difference between a heathen and a Christian nation.

The fight over the soldiers vote bill has, I am sorry to report, turned into a political fight and a hot one. Realizing, no doubt, that it had come to this, President Roosevelt sent a blistering message to shiring the week Congress, which in itself was not without political punch and made the question even more a political fight. Both the House and the Senate are scheduled to vote on this question next week. The Senate is to take it up tomorrow and the House is to take it up Tuesday. Now what is briefly, the fight all about? Well, here is the situation. A majority of the Republicans in Congress seem to have joined hands with some Southern Democrats to put over a bill which would wirtually leave all soldier voting up to the States with the army and navy, of course, to transport the state ballots. On the other hand, many other members of Congress and the President have insisted that only a very few soldiers could

actually have the privilegaxefxxeting unless Federal machinery for handling the problem was set up and a uniform ballot supplied to the armed forces.

On the one hand, those who want a uniform Federal ballot, including the President, say that the Federal government which has drafted these men from every state and hamlet in the country and sent them to the battle fronts of the world, not only has the right but an obligation to see to it that these soldiers have a voice in deciding what men and what party

will direct the destinies of their nation. On the other hand, those who advocate leaving the it entirely to the states say that, under the constitution voting is entirely a state question and that the soldiers can and earn and vote under the State absentee voting laws. Now leaving the constitutional question aside for the moment, let's take a simple example of how these two procedures would work. Let's take first the so-called state's right method which is embodied in the bill which has passed the Senate before Christmas and in the Rankin Bill to be considered by the House Tuesday. A soldier must write into his local election officials and ask that an absentee ballot be sent him. Let us say that a soldier in North Africa writes home to his local election officials requesting an absentee The ballot can't be sent him until the primaries are over because the names of the candidates in the general election will not be known But let us say that as soon as the primaries are over, the local until then. election officials mail the ballot to this soldier in North Africa. In the meantime, the swidtersx soldier may have moved to Sicily. And, of latter wait, of course, His mil would be forwarded on there. But before it gets there, he might have landed in Italy or moved fromx therepx some other place. So, n get back home the absentee ballot might not reach him until the election was all over. This is only one of the many difficulties. Now, if there was a uniform

Federal ballot, how would this same soldier, moving about as he is, get to vote? Well, in the first place, the Federal ballot, which the Republicans have nicknamed the bobtail ballot, would not be mailed to by The War & Marry Septs him personally. A supply of these ballots would be printed, and sent to every place where American soldiers are concentrated, whether it be North Africa, Sicily, Italy, Guadalcanal, the Aleutians, @ Christmas or on the high secons, island. These ballots would be sent ahead without the names of the candidates. After the primaries are over and the candidates of the respective parties are known, the War and Navy Departments would send out a radiogram or a telegram to all the headquarters naming the candidates for President, for the Senate and for Congress and their parties. This would be posted and this information would be made available to all the soldiers along with the blank uniform ballot. The soldier then, wherever he is, could take this Federal uniform ballot and write in the name of the candidate or the political party mund for which he desired to vote. He would also write in his own name in a place which would be provided and his dram address. All of these ballots then from military condentrations around the world would be forwarded back to the United States, and sent to the respective local election authorities who would then decide whether or not the soldier was entitled to vote, just as me the case of any after vater.

In his message to Congress, the President said this was the only practical way to give the soldiers a vote, pointing out that by using the

John 9 State absentee law only 28,000 soldiers voted in the election two years ago. Now the partisan political angle to the question was brought into the open by Senator Holman, a Republican Senator from the State of Washington. He said that if Mr. Roosevelt would not be a candidate for a fourth term, there might not be so much debate and fight on the question. Whereupon, Democratic Senators, like Senator Hatch from New Mexico, Senator Murdock from Arizona, Senator Barkley, the Democratic leader from Kentucky, pounced upon him with all feet. Whether or not rightly or wrongly, a good many Republican members of Coggress feel that the President as commander-in-chief would have an advantage texthe with the soldier vote. They still say, though, that they want the soldier to have the privilege of voting, but they insist, along with a large number Southern Democrats, that this question is one for the states to handle. The other side comes back and says, "But the states can't handle it. 68 If you really want the soldier to vote, then be for our bill." The retort comes back: "But Will is unconstitutional." So, the argument goes on ad infinitum. Trxxxxxxxx It reminds me of that song which swept the country a few years ago about the "music goes round and round and it comes out here. " and there."

"The fight over the soldiers vote bill has. I am sorry to report turned into a political fight and a hot one. Realizing, no doubt, that it had to come to this, President Roosevelt sent a blistering message to Congress during the week which in itself was not without political punch and made the question even more a political fight. Both the House and the Senate are scheduled to vote on this question next week. The Senate is to take it up tomorrow and the House is to take it up Tuesday. Now what is the fight all about? Well, briefly, here is the situation. A majority of the Republicans in Congress seem to have joined hands with some Southern Democrats to put over a bill which would leave all soldier voting up to the States with the army and navy, of course, to transport the state ballots. On the other hand, many other members of Congress and the President have insisted that only a very few soldiers could actually vote unless Federal machinery for handling the problem was set up and a uniform ballot supplied to the armed forces. On the one hand, those who want a uniform Federal ballot, including the President, say that the Federal government which has drafted these men from every state and hamlet in the country and sent them to the battle fronts of the world, not only has the right but an obligation to see to it that these soldiers have a voice in deciding what men and what party will dierct the destinies of their nation. On the other hand, those who advocate leaving it entirely to the states say that, under the constitution voting, is entirely a state right and that the soldiers can and can only vote under the State absentee voting laws. No leaving the constitutional question aside for the moment, let's take a simple example of how these two procedures would work. Let's take first the so-called state's right method which is embodied in the bill which has passed the Senate before Christmas and in the Rankin Bill to be considered by the House Tuesday. A soldier must write into his local election officials and ask that an absentee ballot be sent him. Let us say that a soldier in North Africa writes home to his local election officials requesting an absentee ballot. The ballot can't be sent him until the primaries are over because the names of the candidates in the general election will not be known until then. But let us say that as soon as the primaries are over, the local election officials mail the ballot to this soldier in North Africa. In the meantime, the soldier may have moved to Sicily. His ballot, like other mail, of course, would be forwarded on there. But before it gets there, he might have landed in Italy or moved some other place. So, the absentee ballot might not reach him, or not get back home until the election was all over. This is only one of the many difficulties. Now, if there were a uniform Federal ballot, how would this same soldier, moving about as he is, get to vote? Well, in the first place, the Federal ballot, which the Republicans have nicknamed the botail ballot, would not be mailed to him personally. A supply of these ballots would be printed by the War and Nawy Departments every place where American soldiers eresailors are concentrated, whether it be North Africa, Sicily, Italy, Guadalcanal, the Aleutians, Christmas Island or on the high seas. These ballots would be sent ahead without the names of the candidates. After the primaries are over and the candidates of the respective parties are known, the War and Navy Departments would send out a radiogram or telegram to all the headquarters naming the candidates for President, for the Senate and for Congress and their political party affiliation. This information would be made available to all the soldiers along with the ballot. The soldier then, wherever he is, could take this uniform ballot and write in the name of the candidate or the political party for which he desired to vote. He would also write in his own name in aplace which would be provided and his home address. All of these ballots then would be forwarded back to the United States from military concentrations around the world, would be sorted and mailed out to the respective local election officials who would then decide whether or not the soldier was entitled to vote, just as in the case of any other voter.

In his message to Congress, the President said this was the only practical way to give the soldiers a vote, pointing out that by using the State absentee voting law only 28,000 soldiers boted in the election two years ago. Now the partisan political angle to the question was brought into the open by Senator Holman, a Republican Senator from the State of Washington. He said that if Mr. Roosevelt would not be a candidate for a fourth term, there might not be so much debate and fight on the question. Whereupon, Democratic Senators, like Senator Hatch from New Mexico, Senator Murdock from Arizona, Senator Barkley, the Democratic leader from Kentucky, pounced upon him with all feet. Whether or not rightly or wrongly, a good many Republican members of Congress feel that the President as commanderin chief would have an advantage with the soldier vote. They still say. though, that they want the soldier to have the privilege of voting, but they insist, along with several Southern Democrats, that this question is one for the states to handle. The other side comes back and says, "But the states can't handle it. If you really want the soldier to vote, be for our bill." the retort comes back: "But your bill is unconstitutional." So the argument goes